

1885-022 Chancery Causes: Henry C. Jackson & wife vs. William M. Collinsworth & Lee Co.

Scott

CA-Debt
T-Property

-Deed

To the Hon. John A. Kelly Judge of
The Circuit Court of Lee County Va
The bill of Complaint of Henry
C. Jackson and Margaret Jackson his
wife, Humbly complaining sheweth
unto your Honor, that your female
Complainant, is the daughter of
the late Hester Ann Collinsworth now
deceased, - The said Hester, was for
several years of her latter life a
lunatic, and finally died in the Eastern
Asylum, while so confined in the
Asylum her son Wm M. Collinsworth
qualified as her Committee, on the
18th day of Nov. 1872, and continued to
act as such until her death, which
occurred about four years ago.

The said Committee, so far as your
Complainants knew conducted the
affairs of the estate honestly and
fairly, and made his final settle-
ment before Caur Bailey one of the
Commissioners of this Court, by which
it appears there is due your Com-
plainants the sum of \$38. and
interest from the date stated in said
report. A copy of said last report
will be found herewith filed marked A.

And is prayed to be considered herewith
as part hereof. Whilst its estate was
so conducted honestly, there are errors in
said settlement, prejudicial to your
Complainant's interest. On each of its
items of credit, there is interest charged
to the day of settlement, and no interest
charged on the debts until after day of
settlement, there are several charges in
said account improperly made against
Complainant; the item of \$125, charged
as paid to Hagan & Pulemore should
only be \$100. The said Committee permitted
himself out and run to a large bill of
costs, upon a contract made by
himself for professional services and
these costs & interest ought not to be
paid - that amount was due for
business relating to the real estate and
should have been paid out of its
first year's rents or profits. The charges
for repairs and improvement, is erro-
neous because no such work was needed or
was wholly useless and besides there is
no vouchers for the same. For these errors
therefore they pray to be permitted to
surcharge and falsify each and every
item in each & every settlement made

by said Committee, so that a correct & final settlement may be affected.

Since making said Settlement the said Wm. Collinsworth has removed from this Commonwealth and now resides outside the State of Virginia. But he has a debt from his atty. G. J. Danneberg ~~and L. P. McGuire~~ ^{and the case} due him, from J. W. Scott which amounts to about the sum of \$45.00 due him from said Scott who resides in this County - When the said Wm. Collinsworth qualified as said Committee as aforesaid he gave bond in the penalty of \$1500. with said J. W. Scott and James H. Jones as his securities therein, the said James H. Jones is wholly insolvent so that nothing can be made off of him and has not been made a party to this bill on that account but will be so made if deemed advisable.

The object of this bill therefore is to surcharge & falsify each & every item in each & every of said Settlements aforesaid and to have attached the debt in the hands of John W. Scott and the same held liable, for the same - And if found not sufficient that said Scott as such security and the said James H. Jones, be held liable and bound therefor, that all of said

of said Wm. Collinsworth to D. J. C. Clark of about the sum of \$45.00

account, he stated upon the principle
of law governing such cases, that said
Debt be attached as said security held
liable -

The premises considered therefore the prayer of
your complainant is that John W. Scott, and Wm
M. Collinsworth be made parties defendant
hereto, and required to answer fully upon oath
that said Scott answer & state whether or
not Wm M. Collinsworth did not pay to
him, an amount of money equal to Com-
plainant's demand as an indemnity
against loss as security, how much it
is and when paid and whether or not
he has not refused to pay the same to
said complainant. And for all after
further & general relief May your Honor
oc...

A L Preliminary P 2.

Of L. Jackson & wife
vs
Bill in Chancery
Foreign attachment

Wm M. Collinsworth et al

To the Hon. John A Kelly Judge of the Circuit
Court of Scott County Virginia

The demurrer and answer of
John W. Scott to the bill of complaint of
H. L. Jackson wife exhibited in this honorable
court against this defendant & others
Respondent says he is advised that
there is good grounds of demurrer to
said bill & he does demur accordingly
& prays judgment &c.

Not waving his said demurrer
but relying thereon if any further
answer be required thereto says that
Messrs M Collinsworth did not pay to
this respondent all amount of money
equal to complainants demand as an
indemnity against loss or account; respon-
dent has refused to pay the complainants
H. L. Jackson any sum: Respondent
would further state that he bought
of H. L. Jackson wife all their
right title & interest in the lands
of ~~Carters~~ M Collinsworth, & also their
interest in the personal estate & ^{because the owner of said interest and} ~~objection~~
of said purchase respondent warranted
W H Collinsworth for the interest of
complainants in said estate which was
twenty eight dollars & some cents & respon-

debt got Judgment therefor
when Respondent got his Judgment
Mr McCallumworth paid respon-
dent said sum: Respondent would further
show your honor that he presumes
the settlements are correct in the main
and that he believes that the item of \$125.00
paid Hagan & Pridemore is right because
he & Callumworth paid it & took Mr Hagan's
receipt therefor ^{as respondent now remembers}: Respondent would further
show your honor that he is advised
that before any action is taken against
this respondent who is only a security
the debt should at least be entered
against the principal but this
case presents the anomaly of
proceeding against the security before
the principal is before the court
the plaintiffs should be held to
at least prepare their case against
the principal respondent demands
full proof of the allegations of the
plaintiffs bill in as much as
it seeks to charge him as
security for matters that he
is not presumed to be familiar
with Respondent paid one of the
costs who divided said his fee of two

dollars & the tax on the whole of
the land of about a 1.20 acre
collumworth left her Respondent
is advised that J. F. Jones his co security
should be made a ^{party} defendant
hereto, for though it ^{should} be true ^{that he is now insolvent} he may
never - Collumworth left her with
about 1500⁰⁰ & plaintiffs knew then of
their claim if they had one and
should have proceeded against him
Respondent is advised that Collumworth
answer, he being the principal, ~~should~~
be filed before any action is taken
or at least he should be before
the court Respondent would further
show that he does not owe said
Collumworth any sum; and now
having fully answered all that
he is advised it is material for
him to answer prays to be taxed
dismissed with his costs &c.

Morgan & Morgan

V^t Lee County to wit

This day J. W. Scott
made oath before me the undersigned
to the best of his knowledge & belief
that the above answer is true and
for as stated on his knowledge
she believes it true and for as stated

an information derived from
others

Given under my hand
this 28 March 1884

J. A. Hyatt
Clerk

in view

J. M. Scott

as 2d. & answer

H. C. Jackson wife

Filed in open court
by permission thereof
March 28th 1884.

J. A. Hyatt
Clerk

A. C. Jackson vs. Def.
against
Wm M. Callisworth et al, Defs. } In of

This
Cause came on this day again
to be heard upon the papers
formerly read, and the report
of J. B. Fulkerson special commis-
sioner, and was argued by Counsel
on Consideration whereof and for
reasons appearing to the Court it
is ^{that said report being unexcepted to by the same is confirmed} adjudged ordered and decreed
that the female plaintiff recover
from Wm M. Callisworth the sum
of \$28.43 with legal interest thereon
from the 22^d day of March 1880
and ^{from the defendant to the plaintiff} the Costs of this suit. And it
appearing that said John W. Scott has
in his hands the said sum of \$28.43
as of 22 March 1880, it is adjudged
ordered and decreed that he pay that
sum over to the plff and when done
the same shall constitute a proper

H. C. Jackson's

March 1883.

Book O.B. Page

23 Decree final

Mar. 5. 1883.

436.

J. R. Gibson & Co.

Wm. M. Collinsworth

Credit for him in any settlement with said Collinsworth hereafter, and for the residue, of the sums herein decreed A. L. Prudence Commissioner in the case of Wood Murholes & J. C. Olinger, will pay out of any funds in his hands due defendant Collinsworth, which when paid shall constitute a proper credit for him; and if the debt due the said Collinsworth is not yet in his hand he will proceed to collect the same and pay over as above. But before the said shall be entitled to the above sums so decreed her she shall or some one for her enter into bond in a penalty of \$100. Condition to perform any further orders of this Court upon the coming in and making defence by said Collinsworth, Execution may issue for the sums hereunder. And the Court is set aside from the docket with leave to re-constate for the purpose of enforcing this decree

Carried this

March 28 1883.

Henry C. Jackson wife Plffs.

Against.

} In Ch.

Wm M. Collinsworth et al, Defts

This cause came on this to be heard upon the ~~bill of the plffs~~ ^{bill of the plffs} ~~formerly~~ ^{exhibiting} the order of publication duly pasted or published against the non resident defendant Wm M. Collinsworth, and upon the answer of John W. Scott or replication thereto, and the answers of C. L. Duncan & A L Prederme this day filed and replication thereto: and was argued by Counsel on Considerations whereof and for reasons appearing to the Court J. B. Fulkerson who is appointed a special Commissioner for the purpose, will enquire and report whether the female plff alone or jointly with her husband sold the debt and on to John W. Scott, or whether or not the plff still owns it. And whether or not the plff ~~or her~~ had any notice of the proceeding mentioned as a warrant by J. W. Scott against Wm M. Collinsworth - He will also re-take state or settle the account of Wm M. Collinsworth as Committee of Hester A. Collinsworth, and show any

sums improperly credited to him by
his ex parte settlements but he will
take such settlements, as the basis of
his action where not unchanged and
falsified by the plffs bill - He will
report his action to the Court - at
some future term & the cause is con-
tinued -

Henry C. Jackson

Decree

Wm M Callinsworth
Aug 27. 1887

Enter

Aug. 29 1887

Henry C. Jackson & wife Plffs } In Chancery
vs }
Wm. M. Collinsworth & J. W. Scott Defs }

I, Henry C. Jackson, Plaintiff
in the Chancery instituted by me, in
the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia
against Wm. M. Collinsworth & J. W. Scott,

do solemnly that in said suit
I ought to recover against said
Wm. M. Collinsworth, at the least
the sum \$250.00 with interest from
22nd day of November 1879, till paid,
that I have present Cause of action
against said parties therefor, that
said Collinsworth is not a resident
of this State, and that said Scott
is liable for this debt, and that
the land sold to said Scott by
me and my wife lying in this
County, is liable for this debt
as I am informed & believe
so help me God,

H. C. Jackson

Sworn to before me

this 19th Feby 1884

J. A. Syatt

clerk

H. C. Jackson

vs $\frac{1}{3}$ Affidavit

Wm. M. Callumworth & Co.

To the Hon. Jno. A. Kelly, Judge of the
Circuit Court for Lee Co. State of Va.!

The undersigned a special commis-
sioner, appointed to retake, state and
settle the acct. of Wm. M. Collinsworth
committee of Hester Ann Collinsworth,
for the benefit of the parties in the
case of H. C. Jackson & wife vs. Wm. M.
Collinsworth et al, now pending in
this Hon. Court, and to make such
other inquiries as are set forth in an
interlocutory decree filed in the cause,
respectfully submits the following re-
port:

The parties having been duly noti-
fied of the time and place of the
retaking, stating &c. of said acct. were
present at the designated time and place.
A. L. Pridemore counsel for plffs. not
insisting on surcharging and falsifying
the settlement of Wm. M. Collinsworth.
The retaking, ^{stating &} settling of said settlement
is rendered unnecessary and is ac-
cordingly not done. The other inquiries
in regard to the question "Whether fe-
male plff. alone or jointly with her
husband sold the debt owed on to
John W. Scott &c were also rendered
unnecessary to be made by the fact

That the said Scott personally appeared and stated that all the right, title and interest claimed by him in the estate of Hester Ann Collinsworth is given by the deed, a copy of which is here-with filed marked "D." Said J. M. Scott claims that said deed conveys to him all the personal as well as the real estate and consequently claims that the \$28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$ due from the afore-said Wm M. Collinsworth to Jackson & Wife is his (Scott's) by virtue of said deed. But this commissioner is of the opinion that said deed does not confer upon J. M. Scott a right to the personal estate, and therefore that the above mentioned sum of \$28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$ can not be the property of J. M. Scott. But said Scott warranted Wm. M. Collinsworth for this sum (\$28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$) and recovered it upon the judgement of a Justice of the peace as will appear from the paper here-with filed marked "P." Said judgement and recovery were evidently erroneous and said J. M. Scott should refund the amt. (\$28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$) so recovered either to Wm M. Collinsworth Committee of Hester Ann Collinsworth or to H. C. Jackson & Wife,

But according to the opinion of this Commissioner it should be paid directly to the latter.

The said J. W. Scott also claims that he should have $\frac{1}{8}$ of the rents and profits of the land from the date of the aforesaid deed, viz: 6th March 1871, down to the 22nd of Nov. 1879, the day of the final settlement of Mrs. M. Collinsworth with the Commissioner Leam. Bailey. But the above mentioned deed did not operate as a conveyance until the death of Hester Ann Collinsworth, which occurred about 12 or 15 months before Mrs. M. Collinsworth's final settlement, during which time the rents & profits of said land, after deducting the expenses for improvements amts. to \$57.50, $\frac{1}{8}$ of which, \$7.18 $\frac{3}{4}$, J. W. is entitled to with interest down to the day of payment. J. W. Scott also claims that he should be reimbursed for the amt. \$8.00 expended by him in relieving the estate of Hester of Hester Ann of the responsibility of supporting said Hester while an inmate of the Asylum. But since Scott had no interest in the land at that time nor could have till after the death of said Hester, his interference was voluntary, and as no man

Recesses Vol. 7. 2. 25

This Deed made this 6th day of March.
1871 between Henry C. Jackson and
Margaret his wife of the county of
Lee and State of Virginia, of the one part,
and John W. Scott, of the county and
State aforesaid, of the other part,
Witnesseth, that in consideration
of the sum of one hundred dollars
in hand paid, the receipt is hereby ac-
knowledge, the said Henry C. Jackson
& Margaret his wife, doth grant and
sell unto the said John W. Scott all
their title and interest in all the land
belonging to Esther M. Collinsworth, being
the lands that the said Esther M. Collins-
worth now lives upon, being one undi-
vided eighth part of all the land
now belonging to the said Esther M.
Collinsworth, and the said Henry C.
Jackson & Margaret his wife, doth cova-
nant with the said John W. Scott that they
warrant, generally the lands hereby conveyed
Witness, the following signatures and seals.

Henry C. Jackson Seal
Margaret ^{her} Jackson Seal
_{mark}

Lee County Court, Clerk's office, the 11th day of
March 1871. The foregoing Indenture of
bargain and sale for land between

Henry C. Jackson and, Margaret, his wife, of the one part, and John W. Scott of the other part, all of the County of Lee and State of Virginia, was this day acknowledged before me by the said Jackson and wife to be their act, and deed for the purposes therein mentioned, and the said Margaret, being examined by me privately and apart from her said husband, and having the deed aforesaid fully explained to her, acknowledged that she had willingly signed and executed the same and did not wish to retract it.

Test James W. Orr Clerk.
Attest John R. Gibson Atty.

John W. Scott
Thos. B. of Deed,
H. C. Jackson & wife
Book
16 P. 297

"D"

Fee for copy 25-cs.

OCT 18 1884

Chas J B Fulkerson Atty at Law sir
I find in my Records as juror of the
peace of 1880 the following judgment
in my hand writing with my name
written on the bottom of it

John W Scott
as sure of
Henry Jackson and
Wife
W E Collinsworth
Community

Date of judgment 22 March 1880
Amount \$2843⁵⁰

Sattis paid by
W E Collinsworth
Cost paid \$500

J B Pennington

The above judgment is a true copy of
that in the judgment book

J B Pennington

Exhibit
"P"

1879. Nov 22	William M. Collinsworth Committee for Hesterann Collinsworth To the heirs and distributees of said Estate To amount principal unaccounted in Settlement of Nov 22 1878		Dr 115 20
	Interest on same to Nov 22 1879.	6 91	
	Interest unaccounted in Settlement of Nov 22. 1878	39 01	
	To rent of land for the year 1879 due Nov 22 1879.		80 00
	Total amount of interest carried to principal		48 92
	Total debits on 22 of Nov. 1879		241 12
	1 By this sum paid taxes for 1879 to Z. J. Cecil & Lick	13 86	
	2. By this sum paid James W. Orr 1878	1 89	
	3. By this sum paid for improvements on land 1879	22 50	
	4. By this sum paid Carr Bailey Feb'y 4 th 1880.	6 00	
	By this sum paid Silos Collinsworth distributee	18 70	
	By 5 per cent commission on \$62.75 disbursed.	3 14	
	By this sum unaccounted for this year to square	175 03	241 12
	This sum unaccounted for		175 03
	• sum paid Jacob B. Collinsworth distributee as shown in Settlement Nov 4.		33 78
	• sum paid Silos Collinsworth as shown in this Settlement		18 70
	Total to be divided into 8 parts number of heirs	8	227 51
	which would give to each heir the sum of		28 43 7/8
	Jacob B. Collinsworth having received \$33.78 which over pays him the sum of.		5 34 7/8

Silas Collinsworth having recd 1870

which will leave due him the sum of

9 73 $\frac{7}{8}$

The said committee having bought three parts

or shares to wit Thomas Dalton & wife Tobias P. Hughes

& wife and Rebecca Collinsworth and having his

own share making four shares that belong to him

which he has a right to retain in his own

hands making the sum of

113 75 $\frac{1}{2}$

The committee will be due H. B. H. & wife

28 48 $\frac{3}{4}$

And he will be due Henry Jackson & wife or their assignee

28 43 $\frac{3}{4}$

To the Honorable Henry J. Morgan Judge of the county
court of Lee County. The undersigned assistant clerk
of of accounts Respectfully reports that on the 18 day
of Nov. 1877 he posted a notice at the front door of
the court house of Lee County, that being the first
day of the county court for that term that the com-
mittee account of William M. Collinsworth committee
of Hesterann Collinsworth was then before him
for settlement and that on the 4th day of February
1880. he proceeded to settle said account as of the
22. day of November 1877 resulting as is seen by
finding in the hands of the said committee unaccon-
ted for the sum of \$175.03. As will be seen I have
charged the said committee with amount found
in his hands unaccounted for in his settlement
as of the 22. day of Nov. 1878. and I have charged
him interest on the same, and I have charged him

with rents of land for 1877. And I have allowed him credits for his disbursements in the payment of taxes Free bills, improvements on the land, and a payment made to Silas Collinsworth one of the distributees, As will be seen the said Committee will still be due the said Silas Collinsworth the sum of \$19.73 $\frac{7}{8}$ And he will be due Hamilton B. Hobbs & wife 28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$

He has overpaid Jacob B. Collinsworth the sum of 5.34 $\frac{1}{8}$. John W. Scott who bought the interest of Henry Jackson & wife in the land of said Collinsworth Estate claims that he also bought at the same time said Jackson & wife's interest in the personal Estate. If that be the case, said Scott is entitled to the whole share of said Jackson & wife of \$28.43 $\frac{7}{8}$ but if said Scott purchased only embraced the real estate he would only be entitled to his interest in the rent of the land for the year 1877, for the land belonged to the said Hesterann Collinsworth during her life, and she has only been dead about twelve or fifteen months and therefore only one crop has been made on said land since her death. The rent of said land for the year 1877. amount \$80.00 and after taking off the expenses for improvement of said land of \$22.50 would leave for distribution amongst eight heirs the sum of \$57.50 which would give to each one the sum of \$7.18 $\frac{3}{4}$ which sum said Scott will be entitled to if his purchase only embraced the real estate & \$21.25 $\frac{1}{8}$ the said

William M. Collinsworth
Committee of Hester and
Collinsworth Settlement
and Commissioners Report
of his committee account

By Carr Bailey Comr &c.

Filed Mar 15th 1880.

Jas. W. Orr Clerk

1880 May confirmed

Recorded in Book
of Inducories 329

H. R. Stickley D.C.

Comr fee \$3.00
6 2.29

Accepted
Jesse John R. Gibson ch.

Fee for this copy 96^{cts}

(Ch's 84)

Jackson & wife but, if he can show by satisfactory evidence that he bought Jackson & wife's whole interest both real and personal he will be entitled to Jackson & wife's whole interest of \$28.43⁷⁸. Vouchers for the credits herein allowed are filed herewith and numbered as in the margin from 1 to 4 inclusive, All of which is respectfully submitted. Carr Bailey Asst Comr &c.
Comrs fees \$ 3.00. February 4. 1880.

The two sums reported due to H. B. Hobbs & wife and Henry Jackson & wife, to wit \$28.43⁷⁸ + \$28.43⁷⁸ has been paid by the committee, and he files with the Vouchers of this settlement receipts therefor numbered 6 & 7.

James W. Orr Comr.
Apr 10th 1880.

Mr Hyatt:

Please issue ~~supra~~
in the case of Jackson & Scott
& myself & Mr Duncan an order
on ~~supra~~ for Sheriff to levy on
the \$94.94 debt in my hands, as
amr aw to same debt in Mr
Duncan's hands as counsel of
collusion. Have you
made publication in this?
Friedman

See how
vs instructions
leaves is worth

Virginia

At Rules Held in the Clerk's Office
of the Circuit Court for Lee County
on Monday the 5th day of May, 1884
Henry C. Jackson & wife Plaintiffs
against

Wm. M. Collinsworth & John W. Scott Defendants

The object of this suit is to recover
\$ against the defendants and to subject
to the payment thereof the real Estate
mentioned in the Bill. And it app-
aring from an affidavit filed in this
Cause that the defendant Wm. M. Collinsworth
is a non resident of this State; - It is
therefore ordered that he appear here
within one month after due publication
of this order and do what may be
necessary to protect his interest in
this suit -

Copy List - J. H. Hyatt
A. L. Bidawood & Co.

Clerk

Henry C. Jackson

vs. Order Pub

John M. Callaway

I certify that I have
published in the Law
this order & posted a
copy at the C. H. door
at the next term 1887
J. J. Hyatt
CC

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting :

We Command You to Summon

John W. Scott & Wm. M. Collins
— with the late committee of Oester A. Collinsworth

To appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Courthouse on the first Monday in

February

next being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court against

them by *Henry C. Jackson*

And have then there this writ. Witness J. A. G. HYATT Clerk of our said Court at the Courthouse

This *30* day of *January* 188*4*, in the 10 *8th* year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt
Acopy *John A. G. Hyatt* Clerk

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting :

We Command You to Summon *John W. Scott & W^m M. Collinsworth*
late committee of Hester A. Collinsworth.

To appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Courthouse on the first Monday in *February*,
next being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court against *them*
by *Henry C. Jackson*

And have then there this writ. Witness J. A. G. HYATT Clerk of our said Court at the Courthouse

This *30th* day of *January* 188*7*, in the 10*8th* year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt Clerk

H. C. Jackson
vs } Spe in chcy
J. W. Scott et al

February Rules 1884

Executed by delivering
an office copy of the writ
- in spe. to J. W. Scott. not
executed as to Wm. H.
Collinsworth the note
being found.

R. D. Hanway, Lk.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County--Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU to summon

A. L. Prosser
and C. L. Duncan

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court House, on the first Monday in
August next, being Rule Day, to answer ^{*an amended*} a Bill in Chancery exhibited in our Court against
them, by *Henry C. Jackson & wife*

And have then and there this Writ. Witness, J. A. G. HYATT, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court House,
this *29th* day of *July*, 188*4*, in the 10^{*th*} year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt Clerk.

A Copy---Teste:

The proper affidavit having been made, the
 officer returning this Spa will attach a debt
 of \$70.74 in the hands of J. L. P. Lemoine & Co
 which may come to the hands of C. V.
 Lemoine & Co, which is payable or due
 to J. H. M. Collinsworth in the original bill
 and hold the same as attached to asset
 the future orders of a court.
 Dated 27 7834 J. H. Lemoine

Henry L. Lemoine

on an affidavit
 no Bill in the
 Spa

J. L. P. Lemoine & Co

L. Lemoine & Co

We accept legal
 service of the within
 Spa. May 1834

Executed by attaching
 the debt in the
 bill mentioned

R. L. Lemoine
 J. L. Lemoine

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County--Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU to summon

A. L. Dismore
and C. L. Dismore

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court House, on the first Monday in
August next, being Rule Day, to answer a Bill in Chancery exhibited in our Court against
them, by *Henry C. Jackson wife*

And have then and there this Writ. Witness, J. A. G. HYATT, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court House,
this *27th* day of *July*, 188 *4*, in the 10 *9* year of the Commonwealth.

A Copy---Teste:

J. A. G. Hyatt
Clerk

J. A. G. Hyatt, Clerk.

The proper affidavit having been made, the
Officer serving this Lpa, will attach on
a debt of \$94.44 in the hands of C. L. Duncan
Court and which may come to the hands of
C. L. Duncan Atty, which is going to or
are the debt. Wm M. Collinsworth in the
original Bill, and hold the same so
attached to await the future orders of the Court.
July 29 1864 J. A. Hyatt

for
C. L. Duncan

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County--Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU to summon

A. L. Pridemore
and *to* *T. Duncan*

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court House, on the first Monday in
August next, being Rule Day, to answer ^{*and amended*} a Bill in Chancery exhibited in our Court against
them by *Henry C. Jackson & wife*

And have then and there this Writ. Witness, J. A. G. HYATT, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court House,
this *29th* day of *July*, 1884, in the 10⁹ year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt, Clerk.

A Copy---Teste:

J. A. G. Hyatt
Clerk

The proper affidavit being made, the officer
serving this ~~Spa~~, will attach on a debt
of \$94.94 now in the hands of A. L. Bidmore
of which ~~may~~ ^{may} come into the hands of the
Court, which is going to be due Mr. M.
Collinsworth, and hold the same so att-
ached to await the future orders of
the Court.

July 29 1884

J. A. G. Hyatt
clerk

for
A. L. Bidmore

Publisher's Certificate.

JONESVILLE, VA., Aug 1st, 1884

I, Jos M. Morgan, Publisher of the LEE COUNTY SUN,
a weekly newspaper published at Jonesville, Lee County, Virginia, do certify that the annexed
Chancery Order was published four successive weeks in said newspaper, publication ending
May 28th, 1884

Jos M. Morgan Publisher.

Recd for \$5.00

VIRGINIA—

At Rules held in the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court for Lee county on Monday the 5th day of May, 1884.

Henry C. Jackson and wife,	}	In Chancery.
Plaintiffs,		
against		
Wm. M. Collinsworth and John W. Scott. Defendants		

The object of this suit is to recover \$30.00 against the defendants and to subject to the payment thereof the real estate mentioned in the bill. And it appearing from an affidavit filed in this cause that the defendant, Wm. M. Collinsworth, is a non-resident of this State: It is therefore ordered, that he appear here within one month after due publication of this order and do what may be necessary to protect his interest in this suit.

A copy—teste: J. A. G. HYATT,
A. L. PRIDEMORE, P.Q. Clerk

Henry C Jasbrow
+ wife

vs Pub Certificate

Wm M Callinworth &
Jno W. Scott ^{vs}

Pub fee \$5.00

6 9.68
 8 1.00
 15 15.00
 Pr 5.00
 Comm 2.25
 \$32.93
 Co do 1.30
 \$34.23

P
 Henry C. Jackson

Bill C. H. J.
 Foreign Attachment

Wm M. Callender

1884 Jan 30 Bill filed

" Feb 15 Sp. Ed. of J. W.
 Scott & D. A. [unclear]

" Mr. D. A. Conf. to Scott
 Cause set for hearing
 as to said Scott

" Aug. Deane [unclear]

1885 Wm. Deane nothing found